

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/845,941	04/30/01	HAYEK		M	IAM 0618 PA
		1 1844 73 7 7 7 7 7 7 4	7	EXAMINER	
HM12/0824 KILLWORTH, GOTTMAN, HAGAN & SCHAEFF, L.L				BAHAR,I	Y
SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE DAYTON DAYTON OH 4			•	1617	
				DATE MAILED:	08/24/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

				•					
,		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/845,94	1	HAYEK ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mojdeh Ba		1617					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE ! - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and will ate, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
		an priority un	Har 25 II C.C. S 440/a	) (d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>									
Attachment	(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/845,941 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

## Restriction/Election

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a pet food composition comprising omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids classified in class 424, subclass 442.

II. Claims 9-11, drawn to a method of reducing inflammatory response in cats employing a composition a pet food composition comprising omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids, classified in class 514, subclasses 558 and 560.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case ibuprofen can be used to reduce inflammatory response.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Timothy Hagan on August 23, 2001, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 6-9 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claims 1-5 are herein examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 09/845,941

Art Unit: 1617

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1-5 recite the limitation "on a dry matter basis". The specification does not define this limitation. Furthermore, there is no guidance as how to obtain this "dry matter basis" in the specification. The Skilled Artisan would therefore not know to make or use the invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "dry" in claims 1-5 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "dry" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The Skilled Artisan could not ascertain the parameters used in determining what constitutes "dry" for the purposes of this invention. Is "dry" to be construed as solid? A composition that contains no water? No liquid of any kind?

Application/Control Number: 09/845,941

Art Unit: 1617

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pscherer et al. (WO 97/19683).

Pscherer et al. (WO 97/19683) teaches a lipid emulsion comprising from 35% to 65% by weight of the vegetable oils which supply omega-6 fatty acids and from 5% to 20% by weight of the fish oils which supply omega-3 fatty acids claim 1 in particular. Pscherer et al. (WO 97/19683) also teaches that the predominant omega-6 fatty acid in the vegetable oils is alpha linolenic acid, page 1 line 36 to page 2 line3, particularly. Pscherer et al. (WO 97/19683) also teaches that the predominant omega-3 fatty acids in fish oil are eicosapentaenic acid and docosahexaenic acid, page 2, lines 5-9.

Pscherer et al. (WO 97/19683) does not particularly teach flaxseed as a source of linolenic acid.

UC Berkeley Wellness Letters teaches that flaxseed and flaxseed oil are by far the best source of alpha linolenic acid, see page 1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ flax seed oil as the source of linolenic acid in the Pscherer composition.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ flax seed oil as the source of linolenic acid because flaxseed oil is known to be by far the best source of alpha

Application/Control Number: 09/845,941

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

linolenic acid and would be reasonably expected to have the exhibit the similar characteristics as other plant oils whose predominant omega-6 fatty acid is linolenic acid.

Note that the recitation of intended use does not further limit a claim drawn to a composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mojdeh Bahar whose telephone number is (703) 305-1007. The examiner can normally be reached on (703) 305-1007 from 8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Mojdeh Bahar Patent Examiner August 23, 2001

> MINNA MOEZIE, J.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600